arXiv:hep-ph/9510335v1 18 Oct 1995

Enhancement of the Transition Magnetic Moments of a Neutrino by Degenerate Electrons

Hisashi Kikuchi

Ohu University
Koriyama, 963 Japan

OHU-PH-9510

Abstract

The one-loop induced magnetic dipole moments of a neutrino are examined in a background of degenerate electrons in the standard model. For the nonrelativistic neutrino, they are enhanced by a factor $(8p_{\rm F}/3m_\nu)$, where $p_{\rm F}$ is the electron Fermi momentum and m_ν the neutrino mass. For the relativistic neutrino, they enhance the flavor-changing but helicity-conserving process because of the absence of the GIM cancellation.

1 Introduction

The electromagnetic interaction of a neutrino in a hot and/or dense medium has been an interesting subject both in particle physics and astrophysics. Adams, Ruderman, and Woo calculated the decay rate of a plasmon into a pair of (anti-)neutrinos in a degenerate electron plasma that is realized in stellar interiors [1]. The plasmon decay is by now shown to be the dominant cooling process in dense stars [1, 2, 3]. If a neutrino has magnetic dipole moments, of diagonal and/or (flavor-changing) transition type, it undergoes a spin rotation when travelling in magnetic field for both cases of Dirac [4] and Majorana particle [5]. This spin rotation was studied intensively in the context that it provides a solution to the solar neutrino problem [6, 7]. These ideas have motivated the study on the electromagnetic vertex of a neutrino in a medium in the standard model [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13] a Especially Nieves, D'Olivo and Pal have shown that the result leads us to the drastic enhancement of the radiative decay rate of a neutrino [10], which is strongly suppressed by the GIM cancellation in the vacuum [15]. Subsequently, Giunti, Kim, and Lam have shown this enhancement can also be understood as the coherent scattering of a neutrino off the background electrons [11]. They further argued that there is no significant enhancement of the neutrino magnetic moments [11].

In this paper, we examine the magnetic dipole moment induced by the one-loop processes that have been studied by Nieves et al. (depicted in Fig. 1 and 2) especially for the case of degenerate electrons, and show it gets in fact *enhanced*. The magnetic moment we find is of the magnitude of $\mu_\nu \sim eG_{\mathrm{F}}n_{\mathrm{e}}^{1/3}$ where e is the electromagnetic coupling constant, G_{F} the Fermi coupling constant, and n_{e} the electron density. Thus it is consistent with the assertion of Giunti et al. that there is no enhancement proportional to $eG_{\mathrm{F}}n_{\mathrm{e}}$, but it is still much larger than their speculation that the result would be even smaller than the vacuum contribution.[1]

The induced magnetic moments are helicity-conserving for relativistic neutrinos. This is due to the left-handed nature of the interaction in the standard model. Thus they will hardly generate the spin-rotation for relativistic neutrinos, which is thought to be a main consequence of nonzero magnetic moments. They can, however, enhance flavor-changing processes under the presence of magnetic field. This is because of the absence of the GIM cancellation in the degenerate electron plasma: the one-loop induced magnetic moments in the vacuum are independent of the internal charged lepton mass to the leading order in the Fermi coupling constant and, thus, cancel out when one adds all the contribution from the three species [15]; in the electron background, only electrons give extra contribution proper to their degeneracy and thus the GIM cancellation no more works.

---

[1] The one-loop effect we calculate includes the same contribution calculated by Giunti et al. in Ref. [11] although the diagrams appear different: according to the standard formalism for many particle systems, we can forget about the existence of background electrons if we use the appropriate propagators for internal electron lines in the perturbative expansion [16]; since the external electron lines in Fig. 2 in their paper represent the background electrons, those diagrams correspond to Fig. 1 (a) in our language.

We notice that the magnetic moment we evaluate in this paper is the same one as evaluated by Paster, Semikoz, and Valle [17] in the study of transitions between the standard neutrinos and a sterile one, although their context and method of calculation are different from ours. In their paper, they claimed that the moments can only affect the dispersion relation of neutrinos. We would like to emphasize that they can cause a flavor-changing process as well if the mass difference of the neutrinos are big enough and mass eigenstates do not loose their identity even under the presence of coherent scattering in degenerate electrons.

We simply adopt the zero temperature approximation. The result is thus applicable to a plasma whose Fermi momentum $p_{\rm F}$ is much larger than its temperature T. This condition reads

$$[Y_{\rm e}\,\rho({\rm g/cm^3})]^{1/3} \gg 1.7\times 10^{-8}\,T({\rm K}) \tag{1}$$

if one uses $p_{\rm F} = (3\pi^2 n_{\rm e})^{1/3}$ and $n_{\rm e}(1/{\rm cm}^3) \simeq 6.0\times 10^{23}\,Y_{\rm e}\rho({\rm g/cm^3})$, where $n_{\rm e}$ is the electron number density (in units of $1/{\rm cm}^3$), ρ the mass density (in units of ${\rm g/cm^3}$), and $Y_{\rm e}$ the electron fraction per baryon. This condition is satisfied in the stellar interiors such as the center of the Sun and the core of a supernova at the onset of collapse [18].

We do the calculations in the left-handed two component notation; the general structure of the neutrino electromagnetic vertex is more clearly represented in this notation than in the regular four component Dirac notation. In section 2 we provide the basic formula for the calculation and specify the electromagnetic vertex in the vacuum by applying discrete symmetries, C, P, and T. In section 3, we will calculate the magnetic dipole moment in a degenerate electron plasma and discuss its physical consequence.

2 Electromagnetic vertex in the two-component notation

The Lorentz group has two different spinorial (two-dimensional) representations, called left-handed and right-handed. Each is the complex conjugate of the other. We assume spinor field operators, e.x. $l(x)$, obey the left-handed representation, and thus their hermitian conjugates, $\epsilon l^\dagger(x)$,[2] obey the right-handed one, where $\epsilon \equiv i\sigma^2$, is defined with the second component of the Pauli matrices $\vec{\sigma}$. The most general form of the free Lagrangian for n species of fields, $l_a(x)$ $(a = 1, ..., n)$, is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{M}} = \sum_a \left[l_a^\dagger i(\bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu) l_a - \frac{1}{2} m_a \left(\epsilon l_a l_a\right) - \frac{1}{2} m_a \left(l_a^\dagger \epsilon l_a^\dagger\right)\right], \tag{2}$$

where $\bar{\sigma}^\mu \equiv (1, -\vec{\sigma}\,)$ and m_a are the real positive mass parameters. One may write the mass term in a matrix form $M_{ab} l_a \epsilon l_b/2 + (\text{h.c.})$ with a symmetric matrix M_{ab} (note $l_a \epsilon l_b = l_b \epsilon l_a$). All the parameters in M_{ab}, however, are not physically relevant since it can always be diagonalized by the field redefinition, $l_a \to U^{-1}_{ab} l_b$, with the unitary matrix U_{ab} that satisfies

$$M_{ab} = \sum_c m_c U_{ca} U_{cb}. \tag{3}$$

If there is no degeneracy in m_a, the Lagrangian (2) describe Majorana spinors.

We assume all the neutrinos ν_{e}, ν_μ, and ν_τ are Majorana fermions and their free part of the Lagrangian has the same form as (2). We use the subscripts e, μ, and τ for distinguishing the mass eigenstates. After the quantization, they are expanded in the annihilation operator $a_{\nu_a}(\vec{p}, s)$ and the creation operator $a^\dagger_{\nu_a}(\vec{p}, s)$ of the one-particle state with momentum $\vec{p}$ and helicity $s, (s = \pm 1)$. They are collectively written as

$$\nu(x) = \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_s \left[e^{-iE_\nu(\vec{p})x^0 + i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} u(\vec{p}, s)\, a_\nu(\vec{p}, s) + e^{iE_\nu(\vec{p}) - i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} v(\vec{p}, s)\, a^\dagger_\nu(\vec{p}, s)\right] \tag{4}$$

where $E_\nu(\vec{p}) \equiv \sqrt{\vec{p}^{\,2} + m_\nu^2}$ is the energy of the neutrino. The spinor functions $u(\vec{p}, s)$ and $v(\vec{p}, s)$ in Eq. (4) are given by

$$u(\vec{p}, s) = \sqrt{\frac{E_\nu - s|\vec{p}\,|}{2E_\nu}} \chi(\hat{p}, s), \quad \epsilon\, v^*(\vec{p}, s) = \sqrt{\frac{E_\nu + s|\vec{p}\,|}{2E_\nu}} \chi(\hat{p}, s), \tag{5}$$

---

[2] Notations: 'Dagger' on the field operators means the hermitian conjugate in the Hilbert space; it does not include the operation of transposition which is usually assumed for the column of two-component field operators. Products of two field operators such as $(\epsilon l_a l_a)$ is understood as $(\epsilon)_{\alpha\beta}(l_a)_\beta(l_a)_\alpha$ if one explicitly writes the indices $\alpha, \beta, ... = 1, 2$ in the spinor space.

where $\chi(\hat{p}, s)$ is the helicity eigenspinor defined by

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{p}\,)\, \chi(\hat{p}, s) = s\chi(\hat{p}, s), \quad \chi(\hat{p}, s)^\dagger \chi(\hat{p}, t) = \delta_{st}. \tag{6}$$

They have been obtained by requiring that they must obey a relation

$$(p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu) u(\vec{p}, s) = m_\nu \epsilon v^*(\vec{p}, s) \quad (p^0 = E_\nu(\vec{p})) \tag{7}$$

that comes from the equation of motion $i\partial_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu\, \nu - m_\nu \epsilon\, \nu^\dagger = 0$ and that the canonical commutation relations of the spinor-components $\nu_\alpha(x)$ $(\alpha = 1, 2)$ of the field operators,

$$\{\nu_\alpha(\vec{x}\,), \nu^\dagger_\beta(\vec{y}\,)\} = \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}\,)\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \{\nu_\alpha(\vec{x}\,), \nu_\beta(\vec{y}\,)\} = 0, \quad \{\nu^\dagger_\alpha(\vec{x}\,), \nu^\dagger_\beta(\vec{y}\,)\} = 0, \tag{8}$$

result in the properly normalized commutation relations

$$\{a^\dagger_\nu(\vec{p}, s), a_\nu(\vec{q}, t)\} = \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{q}\,)\delta_{st}, \quad \{a_\nu(\vec{p}, s), a_\nu(\vec{q}, t)\} = 0, \quad \{a^\dagger_\nu(\vec{p}, s), a^\dagger_\nu(\vec{q}, t)\} = 0. \tag{9}$$

The charge conjugation C and parity transformation P are unitary operators in the Hilbert space, and the time reversal T is an anti-unitary operator [19]. They transforms the neutrino fields as

$$\mathrm{C} : \nu(x) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \nu(x), \tag{10}$$

$$\mathrm{P} : \nu(x) \quad \longrightarrow \quad i\epsilon\nu^\dagger(\bar{x}), \tag{11}$$

$$\mathrm{T} : \nu(x) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \epsilon\nu(-\bar{x}), \tag{12}$$

where $\bar{x}^\mu = (x^0, -\vec{x}\,)$. We will use the notation that 'bar' on a four vector means reversing the direction of its spatial components while keeping the temporal component unchanged. The free Lagrangian for $\nu(x)$ is symmetric under all these discrete symmetries. Note that T replaces all the c-numbers with their complex conjugates as well [19].

The charged leptons e, μ, and τ are Dirac particles and described by a pair of two-component fields with a degenerate mass. Assume l_1 and l_2 have the same mass in (2). Then the Lagrangian possesses an O(2) symmetry. We redefine the fields as

$$\mathrm{e} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(l_1 + il_2) \quad \mathrm{e^c} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(l_1 - il_2) \tag{13}$$

and identify the O(2) to the electromagnetic U(1) gauge symmetry. Switching on the coupling to the electromagnetic field A_μ, we write the Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{L}_\mathrm{e} = \mathrm{e}^\dagger i\bar{\sigma}^\mu(\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu)\mathrm{e} + \mathrm{e^{c\dagger}} i\bar{\sigma}^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\mathrm{e^c} - m_\mathrm{e}\,(\epsilon \mathrm{e}\mathrm{e^c}) - m_\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{e}^\dagger \epsilon \mathrm{e^{c\dagger}}\right). \tag{14}$$

After quantizing its free part, we get

$$\mathrm{e}(x) = \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_s \left[e^{-iE_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p})x^0 + i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} u(\vec{p},s)\, a_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p},s) + e^{iE_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p})x^0 - i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} v(\vec{p},s)\, b_\mathrm{e}^\dagger(\vec{p},s) \right], \tag{15}$$

$$\mathrm{e}^\mathrm{c}(x) = \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_s \left[e^{-iE_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p})x^0 + i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} u(\vec{p},s)\, b_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p},s) + e^{iE_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p})x^0 - i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} v(\vec{p},s)\, a_\mathrm{e}^\dagger(\vec{p},s) \right], \tag{16}$$

where operators $a_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p},s)$ stand for electrons and $b_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p},s)$ for positrons; $u(\vec{p},s)$ and $v(\vec{p},s)$ are defined by the same expressions as (5) using the electron energy $E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p}) \equiv \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m_\mathrm{e}^2}$ instead of E_ν.

The discrete symmetries transform the fields as[3]

$$\mathrm{C}: \ \mathrm{e}(x) \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{e}^\mathrm{c}(x); \ A_\mu(x) \longrightarrow -A_\mu(x), \tag{17}$$

$$\mathrm{P}: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{e}(x) & \longrightarrow & i\epsilon \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{c}\dagger}(\bar{x}) \\ \mathrm{e}^\mathrm{c}(x) & \longrightarrow & i\epsilon \mathrm{e}^\dagger(\bar{x}) \end{array} \right. ; A_\mu(x) \longrightarrow \bar{A}_\mu(\bar{x}), \tag{18}$$

and

$$\mathrm{T}: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{e}(x) & \longrightarrow & \epsilon \mathrm{e}(-\bar{x}) \\ \mathrm{e}^\mathrm{c}(x) & \longrightarrow & \epsilon \mathrm{e}^\mathrm{c}(-\bar{x}) \end{array} \right. ; A_\mu(x) \longrightarrow \bar{A}_\mu(-\bar{x}). \tag{19}$$

Using these explicit expansions of the field operators, we calculate the one-loop induced electromagnetic vertex of a neutrino with the electromagnetic interaction of the charged leptons and the interactions of the massive vector bosons $W^\pm$, of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\mathrm{int} &= -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left[V_{\nu_a b} \nu_a^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \mathrm{e}_b W_\mu^+ + V_{\nu_b a}^* \mathrm{e}_a^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \nu_b W_\mu^- \right] \\ &\quad -e \left[(iF_{\mu\nu}) W^{+\mu} W^{-\nu} + (iW_{\mu\nu}^+) W^{-\mu} A^\nu + (iW_{\mu\nu}^-) A^\mu W^{+\nu} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where the indices a, b ($=$ e, μ, τ) are used to distinguish the flavors, $V_{\nu_a b}$ is the element of the CKM matrix between ν_a and the charged lepton e_b. The relevant Feynman diagrams are depicted in Fig. 1. We will not explicitly calculate the contribution from the neutral current interaction. It does not contribute to transition moments in the vacuum or in an electron background. It contributes to diagonal magnetic moments in the electron background through the process depicted in Fig. 2. The correction from it is easily made, as we will show in Section 3.

The W-bosons are defined to transform as

$$\mathrm{CP}: W_\mu^\pm(x) \rightarrow -\bar{W}_\mu^\mp(\bar{x}) \tag{21}$$

---

[3] The definitions of C, P, and T have the ambiguities of a sign for the Majorana neutrinos and of an U(1) phase for the charged leptons. These ambiguities are eventually fixed by the interaction terms. The definition of P here is different from the usual one [19]; the intrinsic parity of all fermion is $(-i)$ in our definition.

and

$$\mathrm{T}: W_\mu^\pm(x) \to \bar{W}_\mu^\pm(-\bar{x}) \tag{22}$$

under CP and T. The whole Lagrangian, the sum of $\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\rm M}$ for the neutrinos, $\mathcal{L}_{\rm e}$ for the charged leptons, and the kinetic terms for the vector bosons, is CP- and T-invariant up to complex phases in $V_{\nu_a b}$.

Let us write the effective interaction induced by electron intermediate state as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = \left(\frac{-eg^2}{2}\right) V_{\nu_a \rm e} V^*_{\nu_b \rm e} \nu_a^\dagger(p_1) F^\mu(p_1, p_2) \nu_b(p_2) A_\mu(q), \tag{23}$$

where the field operators are written in their Fourier components of momenta q, p_1, and p_2; our convention is that their directions are inward to the vertex and thus $q + p_1 + p_2 = 0$. The vertex function F^μ is evaluated by

$$\begin{aligned} F^\mu(p_1, p_2) \equiv & \int\int dxdy e^{-ip_1x - ip_2y} \\ & \times \langle \,|\, \mathrm{T}\, W_\nu^+(x) \bar{\sigma}^\nu \mathrm{e}(x) \left[e^\dagger(0) \bar{\sigma}^\mu e(0) - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{c}\dagger}(0) \bar{\sigma}^\mu \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{c}}(0) + ... \right] \mathrm{e}^\dagger(y) \bar{\sigma}^\lambda W_\lambda^-(y) |\, \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where T here denotes the time-ordered product and $|\,\rangle$ is the vacuum or the groundstate of a electron gas depending on which background we are considering. We have abbreviated the W's electromagnetic vertex in (24).

We first consider $F^\mu_{\rm vc}$, the vertex function in the vacuum, and see how the magnetic dipole moments look like in the two-component notation. There are six independent structures for $F^\mu_{\rm vc}$; $\bar{\sigma}^\mu$, $(\bar{\sigma}p_1)p_1^\mu$, $(\bar{\sigma}p_2)p_2^\mu$, $(\bar{\sigma}p_1)p_2^\mu$, $(\bar{\sigma}p_2)p_1^\mu$, and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}\bar{\sigma}_\nu p_{1\lambda} p_{2\kappa}$, where $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}$ is the four dimensional anti-symmetric tensor ($\epsilon_{0123} = -\epsilon^{0123} = 1$). The vacuum is CP- and T-invariant. This leads us to the identities that $F^\mu_{\rm vc}$ must obey; inserting $(\mathrm{CP})^{-1}\mathrm{CP}$ and $\mathrm{T}^{-1}\mathrm{T}$ into Eq. (24) and transforming the fields operators according to (17)–(19), (21), and (22), we obtain

$$F^\mu_{\rm vc}(p_1, p_2) = -\epsilon \bar{F}^{\mu\,\mathrm{T}}_{\rm vc}(\bar{p}_2, \bar{p}_1)\epsilon \quad \text{(by CP)} \tag{25}$$

and

$$F^\mu_{\rm vc}(p_1, p_2) = -\epsilon \bar{F}^{\mu\,\mathrm{T}}_{\rm vc}(-\bar{p}_2, -\bar{p}_1)\epsilon \quad \text{(by T)}, \tag{26}$$

where 'T' on a matrix denotes the transposition. $F^\mu_{\rm vc}$ also satisfies the gauge invariance

$$q_\mu F^\mu_{\rm vc} = 0. \tag{27}$$

These constraints reduce the number of form factors to two,

$$F^{\mu}_{\rm vc}(p_1, p_2) = f_{\rm T}\,\left(q^{\mu}q^{\nu} - q^2 g^{\mu\nu}\right)\bar{\sigma}_{\nu} + i f_{\rm M}\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}\bar{\sigma}_{\nu} p_{1\lambda} p_{2\kappa}. \tag{28}$$

The form factors $f_{\rm T}$ and $f_{\rm M}$ are functions of the Lorentz invariants p_1^2, p_2^2, and $(p_1 p_2)$, as well as the mass parameters $m_{\rm e}$ and $m_{\rm W}$. The second term in (28) contains magnetic dipole moments. For nonrelativistic momenta, $|\vec{p}_1\,|, |\vec{p}_2\,| \ll m_{\nu_a}, m_{\nu_b}$, it is dominated by the spatial components and contains the element

$$i f_{\rm M}\,\frac{m_{\nu_a} + m_{\nu_b}}{2}\left[\vec{\sigma} \times (-\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2\,)\right]. \tag{29}$$

This is readily translated to the effective coupling to the magnetic field $\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$ of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm m} = \mu_{ab}(\nu_a^{\dagger}\vec{\sigma}\nu_b) \cdot \vec{B}, \tag{30}$$

where

$$\mu_{ab} \equiv \frac{eg^2}{2} V_{\nu_a {\rm e}} V^{*}_{\nu_b {\rm e}} f_{\rm M} \frac{m_{\nu_a} + m_{\nu_b}}{2}. \tag{31}$$

To leading order in ${m_{\rm e}}^2/{m_{\rm W}}^2$, $f_{\rm M}$ is independent of $m_{\rm e}$ and

$$f_{\rm M} = \frac{3}{32\pi^2 {m_{\rm W}}^2}, \tag{32}$$

which is obtained from the result in Ref. [15]. Since intermediate muon and tauon give the same contribution and $V_{\nu_a b}$ is an unitary matrix, the magnetic dipole moments induced in the vacuum are of diagonal type of the magnitude

$$\mu_{\nu} \equiv \mu_{aa}(\text{no summation over } a) = \frac{3eG_{\rm F} m_{\nu}}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \tag{33}$$

and the transition moments, $a \neq b$, vanish to the leading order (GIM cancellation) [15].

Note also that the matrix element of $\mathcal{L}_{\rm m}$ between nonrelativistic Majorana neutrinos is further suppressed than the case where they were of Dirac type. This is readily verified by inserting the expansion (4) into (30). [The expansion for the Dirac neutrino is given by replacing $a_{\nu}^{\dagger}$ with $b_{\nu}^{\dagger}$, the creation operator for the anti-neutrino.] For the Majorana neutrino either $\nu(x)$ or $\nu^{\dagger}(x)$ can annihilate and create a neutrino. This causes a cancellation. If one evaluates the matrix element between the states with quantum numbers $(\vec{p}, s)$ and $(\vec{p}\,', t)$, it is reduced by $(s|\vec{p}\,| + t|\vec{p}\,'\,|)/m_{\nu}$ compared with the one for the Dirac neutrinos. This is a manifestation that a Majorana neutrino cannot have diagonal magnetic moment by the CPT invariance: the moment is necessarily proportional to the spin and turns to the opposite direction under the CPT transformation, while $\vec{B}$ stays in the same direction.

3 Magnetic moments in a degenerate electron plasma

The vertex function F^μ in a degenerate electron plasma is evaluated with $|\mathrm{dg}\rangle$ in Eq. (24), the state in which all the one particle states that have momentum below p_F are filled. In the actual calculation, it suffices that we simply use the modified electron propagators for the Feynman rules [16]. There are four nonzero propagators, which we label with a double index (ij), $i, j = 1, 2$. They are defined by

$$S^{(ij)}(p) \equiv (-i) \int dx e^{ipx} \langle \mathrm{dg}|\mathrm{T}\psi^{(i)}(x)\bar{\psi}^{(j)}(0)|\mathrm{dg}\rangle, \tag{34}$$

where $\psi^{(1)} = \epsilon \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{c}\dagger}$, $\psi^{(2)} = \mathrm{e}$, $\bar{\psi}^{(1)} = \mathrm{e}^\dagger$, and $\bar{\psi}^{(2)} = \epsilon \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{c}\dagger}$; the notation takes after the one for a four-component Dirac spinor (see the Appendix). They are evaluated straightforward and obtained as [1]

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(ij)}(p) = {} & S^{(ij)}_{\mathrm{el}}(\vec{p}) \frac{1}{p^0 - E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p}) + i\varepsilon} - S^{(ij)}_{\mathrm{ps}}(\vec{p}) \frac{1}{p^0 + E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p}) - i\varepsilon} \\ & - S^{(ij)}_{\mathrm{el}}(\vec{p}) \frac{\theta(p_\mathrm{F} - |\vec{p}\,|)}{p^0 - E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p}) + i\varepsilon} + S^{(ij)}_{\mathrm{el}}(\vec{p}) \frac{\theta(p_\mathrm{F} - |\vec{p}\,|)}{p^0 - E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p}) - i\varepsilon} \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & S^{(11)}_{\mathrm{el}} = S^{(11)}_{\mathrm{ps}} = S^{(22)}_{\mathrm{el}} = S^{(22)}_{\mathrm{ps}} = \frac{m_\mathrm{e}}{2E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p})} \\ & S^{(12)}_{\mathrm{el}} = -S^{(21)}_{\mathrm{ps}} = \frac{E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p}) + \vec{p}\cdot\vec{\sigma}}{2E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p})}, \quad S^{(21)}_{\mathrm{el}} = -S^{(12)}_{\mathrm{ps}} = \frac{E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p}) - \vec{p}\cdot\vec{\sigma}}{2E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p})}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

We define F^μ_{dg}, the term proper to the degenerate plasma, by

$$F^\mu_{\mathrm{dg}}(p_1, p_2) \equiv F^\mu(p_1, p_2) - F^\mu_{\mathrm{vc}}(p_1, p_2). \tag{37}$$

Note that the first two terms in Eq. (35) is the vacuum contribution and the last two terms represent the correction due to the plasma. Thus F^μ_{dg} is the difference of two amplitudes evaluated with Eq. (35) and with only the first two terms of the electron propagators.

We first examine the contribution from Fig. 1 (a). The Feynman integral typically have forms

$$\int^{|\vec{p}|\sim p_\mathrm{F}} \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{[((p^0 + p^0_1) \pm E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p} + \vec{p}_1) \pm i\varepsilon][((p^0 - p^0_2) \pm E_\mathrm{e}(\vec{p} - \vec{p}_2) \pm i\varepsilon][(p^0)^2 - E_\mathrm{W}{}^2(\vec{p}) + i\varepsilon]}, \tag{38}$$

where $E_W(\vec{p}\,) \equiv \sqrt{\vec{p}^{\,2} + m_W^2}$. Suppose we do the p^0-integral first by the contour integral method. The kinematics for the process we are considering is

$$p_1^0, p_2^0, |\vec{p}_1\,|, |\vec{p}_2\,| \ll m_{\rm e}, p_{\rm F} \ll m_{\rm W}. \tag{39}$$

Thus, to leading order in $1/m_{\rm W}$, the dominant contributions are given by picking the residues at $p^0 \sim \pm E_{\rm e}$. They are proportional to $1/{m_{\rm W}}^2$, while the residues at $p^0 \sim \pm E_{\rm W}$ give a contribution proportional to $1/{m_{\rm W}}^3$ at most. This means that the W boson propagator $G_{\mu\nu}(p)$ can be safely contracted to the point form $g_{\mu\nu}/{m_{\rm W}}^2$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{\mu}_{\rm dg}(p_1,p_2) = {} & \left(\frac{1}{{m_{\rm W}}^2}\right)\int\frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3}\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda} \\
& \left\{\frac{\left[S^{(21)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,)\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}S^{(21)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2) + S^{(22)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,)\sigma^{\mu}S^{(11)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2)\right]\theta(|\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1| - p_{\rm F})\theta(p_{\rm F} - |\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2|)}{q^0 - (E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,) - E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2\,)) + i\varepsilon}\right. \\
& + \frac{\left[S^{(21)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,)\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}S^{(21)}_{\rm ps}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2) + S^{(22)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,)\sigma^{\mu}S^{(11)}_{\rm ps}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2)\right]\theta(p_{\rm F} - |\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1|)}{q^0 - (E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,) + E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2\,)) + i\varepsilon} \\
& + \frac{\left[S^{(21)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,)\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}S^{(21)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2) + S^{(22)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,)\sigma^{\mu}S^{(11)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2)\right]\theta(|\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2| - p_{\rm F})\theta(p_{\rm F} - |\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1|)}{-q^0 - (E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2\,) - E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,)) + i\varepsilon} \\
& \left. + \frac{\left[S^{(21)}_{\rm ps}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,)\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}S^{(21)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2) + S^{(22)}_{\rm ps}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,)\sigma^{\mu}S^{(11)}_{\rm el}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2)\right]\theta(p_{\rm F} - |\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2|)}{-q^0 - (E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_1\,) + E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}+\vec{p}_2\,)) + i\varepsilon}\right\}\bar{\sigma}_{\lambda},
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $\sigma^{\mu} = (1, \vec{\sigma})$. By the similar analysis, we realize that the Fig. 1 (b) gives a contribution proportional to $1/{m_{\rm W}}^3$ at most and neglect it.

Each term in Eq. (40) has definite physical interpretation. The first term in the brace represents the excitation of a electron-hole pair by photon and its subsequent annihilation into a neutrino pair by W boson exchange. The second term denotes the vacuum polarization of a electron-positron pair where the intermediate electron has a momentum in the Fermi sphere. Note that this process is now forbidden by the Pauli blocking and the corresponding correction should appear in $F^{\mu}_{\rm dg}$. The third and forth terms represent the time-reversed processes of the first and the second, respectively. Note also $F^{\mu}_{\rm dg}$ now depends only on $q = -p_1 - p_2$, as one can see easily by a change of variable $\vec{p} \to \vec{p} + \vec{p}_1$.

We specify the form of $F^{\mu}_{\rm dg}$ as we did for $F^{\mu}_{\rm vc}$ and identify the form factor for the magnetic dipole moments. We have assumed the plasma is isotropic and homogeneous, thus the temporal component $F^0_{\rm dg}$ is a scalar while the spatial components $\vec{F}_{\rm dg}$ constitute a vector under spatial rotations. There are two structures, 1 and $\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{q}$, for $F^0_{\rm dg}$ and four structures, $\vec{q}$, $(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{q})\vec{q}$, $\vec{\sigma}$, and $\vec{\sigma} \times \vec{q}$, for $\vec{F}_{\rm dg}$. An important information is given by contracting the W propagator and applying "Fierz" transformation,

$$(\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda})_{\alpha\beta}(\bar{\sigma}_{\lambda})_{\gamma\delta} = -(\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda})_{\gamma\beta}(\bar{\sigma}_{\lambda})_{\alpha\delta}, \tag{41}$$

to Eq. (24). We then have

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\mu}_{\rm dg} &= \frac{i\bar{\sigma}_{\nu}}{m_{\rm W}{}^2} \int dx e^{iqx} \langle {\rm dg}|\, {\rm T}\, {\rm e}^{\dagger}(x)\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}{\rm e}(x) \left[e^{\dagger}(0)\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}e(0) - {\rm e}^{{\rm c}\dagger}(0)\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}{\rm e}^{\rm c}(0) \right] |{\rm dg}\rangle \\ &\quad -(\text{the same term with } |0\rangle). \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The current ${\rm e}^{\dagger}(x)\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}{\rm e}(x)$ is the V-A current of electron in the terminology of the Dirac notation. $F^{\mu}_{\rm dg}$ is then divided into two components, one from the vector current and the other from the axial vector current [1],

$$F^{\mu}_{\rm dg} = \frac{1}{2m_{\rm W}{}^2} \left(\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{\rm V}(q) + \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{\rm A}(q)\right) \bar{\sigma}_{\nu}. \tag{43}$$

The function $\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{\rm V}$, which have come from the vector current, is the polarization tensor of the electromagnetic field in a medium and consists of two form factors (see ref. [1] and references cited therein). Only one structure $\vec{\sigma} \times \vec{q}$ fits to the axial component $\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{\rm A}(q)\bar{\sigma}_{\nu}$ as is verified by P-invariance of $|0\rangle$ and $|{\rm dg}\rangle$. We thus realize that $F^{\mu}_{\rm dg}$ has the form

$$F^{0}_{\rm dg} = f_{\rm l} \left[|\vec{q}|^2 + q^0(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{q}) \right], \tag{44}$$

$$\vec{F}_{\rm dg} = f_{\rm l}\, q^0 \vec{q} \left[1 + \frac{q^0}{|\vec{q}|^2}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{q}) \right] + f_{\rm t} \left[|\vec{q}|^2 \vec{\sigma} - (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{q})\vec{q} \right] + f_{\rm m}\, i(\vec{\sigma} \times \vec{q}) \tag{45}$$

with three form factors $f_{\rm l}$, $f_{\rm t}$, and $f_{\rm m}$, which depend on the rotational invariants $|\vec{q}|$ and q^0. The gauge invariance, $q^0 F^0_{\rm dg} - \vec{q} \cdot \vec{F}_{\rm dg} = 0$, is now easily seen to hold. $f_{\rm m}$ at $q^0 = 0$ is the magnetic dipole moment,

$$\mu_{ab} = \frac{eg^2}{2} V_{\nu_a {\rm e}} V^{*}_{\nu_b {\rm e}} f_{\rm m}. \tag{46}$$

$f_{\rm l}$, $f_{\rm t}$, and $f_{\rm m}$ are proportional to $\mathcal{T}_L$, $\mathcal{T}_T$, and $\mathcal{T}_P$ in Ref. [9], respectively.

Since the state $|{\rm dg}\rangle$ is T-invariant, the same equation as (26) applies to $F^{\mu}_{\rm dg}(q)$ with $q = -p_1 - p_2$. This shows that all the form factors in Eqs. (44) and (45) are even functions of q^0. This result especially indicates that the electric dipole moment, the coefficient of $(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{q})$ in $F^0_{\rm dg}$ at $q^0 = 0$, is zero up to one loop correction even in degenerate electron gas.

CP transforms $|{\rm dg}\rangle$ to the state of degenerate positrons. It leads us to a relation

$$F^{\mu}_{\rm dg,ps}(q) = -\epsilon \bar{F}^{\mu\,{\rm T}}_{\rm dg}(\bar{q})\epsilon, \tag{47}$$

where $F^{\mu}_{\rm dg,ps}$ is the counterpart of $F^{\mu}_{\rm dg}$ evaluated for the degenerate positrons. The structure $(\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{q})$ is odd under the operation defined by the right hand side of (47), while the other structures are even. Thus the positron-induced magnetic moment has an opposite sign to electron-induced one. This also indicates that electron and positron contribute subtractively if they coexist in a plasma. We also infer that the induced magnetic moment vanishes in a plasma where the temperature is much higher than the chemical potential of electrons. These inferences are consistent to the explicit formula obtained in Refs. [9] for $\mathcal{T}_P$.

In order to obtain $f_{\rm m}$, we evaluate the trace $I \equiv {\rm Tr}(\sigma^j F^i_{\rm dg})/2$ and retain only the terms that are proportional to the anti-symmetric tensor ϵ_{ijk}. Using Eqs. (36), (40), and (58) in the Appendix, we found

$$\begin{aligned} I &= i\epsilon_{ijk}\left(\frac{1}{m_{\rm W}{}^2}\right)\int\frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &\quad\times\left[\left(\frac{p^k+q^k}{E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}+\vec{q})}-\frac{p^k}{E_{\rm e}(\vec{p})}\right)\frac{\theta(|\vec{p}+\vec{q}|-p_{\rm F})\theta(p_{\rm F}-|\vec{p}|)(E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}+\vec{q})-E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}))}{(q^0)^2-(E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}+\vec{q})-E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}))^2}\right. \\ &\quad\left.-\left(\frac{p^k+q^k}{E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}+\vec{q})}+\frac{p^k}{E_{\rm e}(\vec{p})}\right)\frac{\theta(p_{\rm F}-|\vec{p}+\vec{q}|)(E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}+\vec{q})+E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}))}{(q^0)^2-(E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}+\vec{q})+E_{\rm e}(\vec{p}))^2}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Note that no absorbtive part is induced for $q^0=0$. The first integrand in the bracket in Eq. (48) is obviously nonzero only in the shell-like region at the surface of the Fermi sphere with the width $|\vec{q}|$ (see Fig. 3). The integral of the second term in the bracket can also be evaluated in the same region: The second integrand, except for the factor $\theta(p_{\rm F}-|\vec{p}+\vec{q}|)$, is odd under $\vec{p}\to-\vec{p}-\vec{q}$; thus we insert $1=\theta(p_{\rm F}-|\vec{p}|)+\theta(|\vec{p}|-p_{\rm F})$ into the integrand, neglect the part from $\theta(p_{\rm F}-|\vec{p}|)$, and change the integral variable as $\vec{p}\to-\vec{p}-\vec{q}$. We approximate the integral measure to

$$d\vec{p} = 2\pi p_{\rm F}{}^2|\vec{q}|\sin\phi\cos\phi\, d\phi, \quad 0\le\phi\le\frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{49}$$

where ϕ is the angle between $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$. The integral I is carried out easily to leading order in $|\vec{q}|/E_{\rm F}$, where $E_{\rm F}$ is the Fermi energy of the electrons. We found $I\simeq(-i)\epsilon_{ijk}q^k(p_{\rm F}/4\pi^2 m_{\rm W}{}^2)$, or

$$f_{\rm m} = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\frac{p_{\rm F}}{m_{\rm W}{}^2}. \tag{50}$$

The magnetic dipole moments are given by

$$\mu_{ab} = -\frac{eG_{\rm F}p_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{2}\pi^2}V_{\nu_a{\rm e}}V^*_{\nu_b{\rm e}} \tag{51}$$

or numerically

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{ab} &= -8.6 \times 10^{-13} \mu_{\rm B} \left(V_{\nu_a {\rm e}} V^*_{\nu_b {\rm e}} \right) \left(\frac{p_{\rm F}}{1 \text{ MeV}} \right) \\ &= -4.4 \times 10^{-15} \mu_{\rm B} \left(V_{\nu_a {\rm e}} V^*_{\nu_b {\rm e}} \right) \left(Y_{\rm e}\, \rho({\rm g/cm}^3) \right)^{1/3} . \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

In fact the neutral current interaction, which we have been neglecting, gives a contribution to the diagonal component. Its magnitude relative to the charged current contribution is readily obtained by comparing the coupling constant of its effective four Fermi interaction with the one from the charged current. The correction is made by replacing $|V_{\nu_a {\rm e}}|^2$ with $(|V_{\nu_a {\rm e}}|^2 - 1/2)$ in Eq. (51). Note that the GIM cancellation does not work for the transition moments since only electron can contribute to $F^{\mu}_{\rm dg}$.

We compare the one-loop induced vertices in the vacuum, Eqs. (28) and (32), and in the electron background, Eqs. (45) and (50), and notice that there are two places where the magnetic moments are significantly enhanced by degenerate electrons. One place is where the neutrino has non-relativistic momenta; whether we consider Dirac or Majorana neutrino, helicity flipping or non-flipping process, the dipole moment is enhanced by the factor $(8p_{\rm F}/3m_\nu)$ when compared with the vacuum case.

The other place is for flavor-changing processes. The induced vertex has the form Eq. (30) in the standard model and the helicity-flipping process for a relativistic neutrino is suppressed by the factor m_ν/E_ν as one can see using the expansion (4). There is no such suppression for the helicity-conserving one. The moments μ_{ab} can induce a transition between $\nu_{\rm e}$ and ν_μ (or ν_τ) in the presence of magnetic field with their helicity intact. We should mention one caution here. When the neutrino propagates in a dense medium, its energy is changed by the coherent interactions with the medium [20]. If this effect is stronger than the one from the mass matrix, the energy eigenstate becomes the interaction eigenstate instead of the mass eigenstate. For the interaction eigenstate, the one-loop induced magnetic moments can no longer generate "flavor-changing" processes. The condition that this will not happen is that the mass difference is big enough, i.e. $\Delta m^2/E_\nu \gg 2\sqrt{2} G_{\rm F} n_{\rm e}$, or numerically[4]

$$\frac{\Delta m^2}{(1{\rm eV})^2} \gg 1.5 \times 10^{-7} \left(\frac{E_\nu}{1{\rm MeV}} \right) Y_{\rm e}\, \rho({\rm g/cm}^3), \tag{53}$$

---

[4] This condition gives, for example, $\Delta m \gg 100$ eV for $\rho \sim 10^{10} {\rm g/cm}^3$ and $E_\nu \sim p_{\rm F} \sim 10$ MeV.

where Δm^2 is the squared-mass difference of the neutrinos in interest. Provided that this condition is satisfied, the flavor-changing process is enhanced relative to the vacuum case because of the absence of the GIM suppression factor ${m_{\mathrm{e}}}^2/{m_{\mathrm{W}}}^2$.

The enhancement is not large enough to affect the solar neutrino flux. The required magnitude is estimated to be $\sim 10^{-10}\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ [6, 7], while p_{F} has the magnitude of 10^{-2} MeV even at the center of the Sun and the resulting dipole moment is too small.

The flavor-changing transition can affect the neutrino flux from a supernova [21]. External magnetic field can give a nonzero momentum transfer to a neutrino traveling in it if its configuration has spatial variation. This gives a possibility for the flavor-changing transition which is different from the one such as the MSW effect [20] where the electron density is not thought to affect the neutrino momentum strongly. In order to establish a realistic picture for the flavor-changing process induced by the magnetic dipole moments, it is necessary to know the profiles of the magnetic field and the electron density in the stellar interior and it is a subject of further study.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks A. Riotto for the discussions and for letting him know the related papers on the subject. He also thanks C. S. Lim for helpful discussions.

A Appendix

In this appendix we related the formula in the two component notation to those familiar in the four-component Dirac notation. A Dirac spinor and the gamma matrices have two-component decomposition as

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{c}\dagger} \\ \mathrm{e} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \bar{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 = (\mathrm{e}^\dagger, \epsilon \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{c}}), \tag{54}$$

and

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{\sigma}^\mu \\ \sigma^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{55}$$

With these definitions, the Lagrangian (14) is written in the familiar form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{e}} = \bar{\psi}\{i(\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu)\gamma^\mu - m\}\psi. \tag{56}$$

The following matrix relation is useful to prove the invariance under the discrete symmetries, C, P, and T.

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon\sigma^{\mu\mathrm{T}}\epsilon = -\bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \qquad & \epsilon\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\mathrm{T}}\epsilon = -\sigma^{\mu} \\ \epsilon\sigma^{\mu *}\epsilon = -\bar{\sigma}^{\mu} \qquad & \epsilon\bar{\sigma}^{\mu *}\epsilon = -\sigma^{\mu}. \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

The products of σ^{μ} reduce to expansions,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda} &= g^{\nu\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu} + g^{\mu\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\lambda} - g^{\mu\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu} - i\epsilon^{\kappa\mu\nu\lambda}\bar{\sigma}_{\kappa} \\ \sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}\sigma^{\lambda} &= g^{\nu\lambda}\sigma^{\mu} + g^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\lambda} - g^{\mu\lambda}\sigma^{\nu} + i\epsilon^{\kappa\mu\nu\lambda}\bar{\sigma}_{\kappa}. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

References

[1] J. B. Adams, M. A. Ruderman, and C. Woo, Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 1383

[2] G. Beaudet, V. Petrosian, and E. E. Salpeter, Astrophysical J. 150 (1967) 979; D. A. Dicus, Phys. Rev. D6 (1972) 941; E. Braaten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 1655.

[3] P. Sutherland et al., Phys. Rev. D13 (1976) 2700; M. Fukugita and S. Yazaki, Phys. Rev. D36 (1987) 3817; N. Iwamoto et al., Phys. Rev. D51 (1995) 348.

[4] K. Fujikawa and R. E. Shrock, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 (1980) 963.

[5] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D 24 (1981) 1883.

[6] M. B. Voloshin, M. I. Vysotskii, and L. B. Okun, Sov. Phys. JETP 64 (1986) 446.

[7] C. Lim and W. J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. D 37 (1988) 1368.

[8] V. N. Oraevsky and V. B. Semikoz, Physica A142 (1987) 135.

[9] J. F. Nieves and P. B. Pal, Phys. Rev. D40 (1989) 1693; J. C. D'Olivo, J. F. Nieves, and P. B. Pal, Phys. Rev. D40 (1989) 3679.

[10] J. C. D'Olivo, J. F. Nieves, and P. B. Pal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 1088.

[11] C. Giunti, C. W. Kim, and W. P. Lam, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 164.

[12] T. Altherr and K. Kainulainen, Phys. Lett. B262 (1991) 79.

[13] S. S. Masood, Phys. Rev. D48 (1993) 3250

[14] A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 2139.

[15] B. W. Lee and R. E. Shrock, Phys. Rev. D16 (1977) 1444; See also, B. W. Lee, Phys. Rev. D15 (1977) 3394; R. E. Shrock, Nucl. Phys. B206 (1982) 359.

[16] A. Fetter and J. Walecka, "Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems," McGraw-Hill (1971).

[17] V. Semikoz and J. W. F. Valle, Nucl. Phys. B 425 (1994) 651; S. Pastor, V. Semikoz and J. W. F. Valle, Astropart Phys. 3 (1995) 87; S. Paster, V. Semikoz, and J. Valle, FTUV/95-39 (hep-ph 9509254).

[18] For a review, see, for example, H. Suzuki, "Supernova Neutrinos", in *"Physics and Astrophysics of Neutrinos"*, ed. by M. Fukugita and A. Suzuki, Springer-Verlag, (1994).

[19] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, "Relativistic Quantum Fields," McGraw-Hill (1965).

[20] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D17 (1978) 2369; S. P. Mikheyev and A. Yu. Smirnov, Nuovo Cim. 9C (1986) 17.

[21] H. Kikuchi, OHU-PH 9508, (hep-ph 9508333).

Figure captions

Fig. 1: The Feynman diagrams for the magnetic dipole moments of a neutrino induced by the charged current interaction.

Fig. 2: The Feynman diagram with the neutral current interaction that also contributes to the diagonal component of the magnetic moments in a degenerate electron plasma.

Fig. 3: The cross section of the Fermi sphere. The shadowed region is the element of the integral region that contributes to the integral I, Eq. (48).